

SOME UNBOUNDED FUNCTIONS OF INTERMITTENT MAPS FOR WHICH THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM HOLDS

J. DEDECKER¹ AND C. PRIEUR²

Abstract. We compute some dependence coefficients for the stationary Markov chain whose transition kernel is the Perron-Frobenius operator of an expanding map T of $[0, 1]$ with a neutral fixed point. We use these coefficients to prove a central limit theorem for the partial sums of $f \circ T^i$, when f belongs to a large class of unbounded functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} . We also prove other limit theorems and moment inequalities.

Classification MSC 2000. 37E05, 37C30, 60F05.

Key words. Intermittency, central limit theorem, moment inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

For γ in $]0, 1[$, we consider the intermittent map T_γ from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$, studied for instance by Liverani, Saussol and Vaienti (1999), which is a modification of the Pomeau-Manneville map (1980):

$$T_\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^\gamma x^\gamma) & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2[\\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

We denote by ν_γ the unique T_γ -probability measure on $[0, 1]$. We denote by K_γ the Perron-Frobenius operator of T_γ with respect to ν_γ : for any bounded measurable functions f, g ,

$$\nu_\gamma(f \cdot g \circ T_\gamma) = \nu_\gamma(K_\gamma(f)g).$$

Let $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure ν_γ and transition Kernel K_γ . It is well known (see for instance Lemma XI.3 in Hennion and Hervé (2001)) that on the probability space $([0, 1], \nu_\gamma)$, the random variable $(T_\gamma, T_\gamma^2, \dots, T_\gamma^n)$ is distributed as $(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$. Hence any information on the law of

$$S_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T_\gamma^i$$

can be obtained by studying the law of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$.

In 1999, Young proved that such systems (among many others) may be described by a Young tower with polynomial decay of the return time. From this construction, she was able to control the covariances $\nu_\gamma(f \circ T^n \cdot (g - \nu_\gamma(g)))$ for any bounded function f and any α -Hölder function g , and then to prove that $n^{-1/2}(S_n(f) - \nu_\gamma(f))$ converges in distribution to a normal law as soon as $\gamma < 1/2$ and f is any α -Hölder function. For $\gamma = 1/2$, Gouëzel (2004) proved that the central limit theorem remains true with the same normalization \sqrt{n} if $f(0) = \nu_\gamma(f)$, and with the normalization

¹ Université Paris 6, Laboratoire de Statistique Théorique et Appliquée.

² INSA Toulouse, Institut Mathématique de Toulouse.

$\sqrt{n \ln(n)}$ if $f(0) \neq \nu_\gamma(f)$. When $1/2 < \gamma < 1$, he proved that if f is α -Hölder and $f(0) \neq \nu_\gamma(f)$, $n^{-\gamma}(S_n(f) - \nu_\gamma(f))$ converges to a stable law.

At this point, two questions (at least) arise: 1) what happens if f is no longer continuous? 2) what happens if f is no longer bounded? For instance, for the uniformly expanding map $T_0(x) = 2x - [2x]$, the central limit theorem holds with the normalization \sqrt{n} as soon as f is monotonic and square integrable on $[0, 1]$, that is not necessarily continuous nor bounded.

For the slightly different map $\theta_\gamma(x) = x(1 - x^\gamma)^{-1/\gamma} - [x(1 - x^\gamma)^{-1/\gamma}]$, with the same behavior around the indifferent fixed point, Raugi (2004) (following a work by Conze and Raugi (2003)) has given a precise criterion for the central limit theorem with the normalization \sqrt{n} in the case where $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ (see his Corollary 1.7). In particular his result applies to a large class of non continuous functions, which gives a quite complete answer to our first question for the map θ_γ . The result also applies to the unbounded function $f(x) = x^{-a}$ with $0 < a < 1/2 - \gamma$. However, the function f is allowed to blow up near 0 only (if f tends to infinity when x tends to $x_0 \in]0, 1]$, then the variation coefficient $v(fh_\gamma, k)$, where h_γ is the density of the θ_γ -invariant probability, is always infinite).

We now go back to the map T_γ . In a short discussion after the proof of his Theorem 1.3, Gouëzel (2004) considers the case where $f(x) = x^{-a}$, with $0 < a < 1 - \gamma$. He shows that, if $0 < a < 1/2 - \gamma$ then the central limit theorem holds with the normalization \sqrt{n} , if $a = 1/2 - \gamma$ then the central limit theorem holds with the normalization $\sqrt{n \ln(n)}$, and if $0 < a < 1 - \gamma$ and $\gamma \geq 1/2$ then there is convergence to a stable law. Again, as for Raugi's result (2004) concerning the map θ_γ , the function f is allowed to blow up only near 0.

On another hand, we know that for stationary Harris recurrent Markov chains with invariant measure μ and β -mixing coefficients of order n^{-b} , $b > 1$, the central limit theorem holds with the normalization \sqrt{n} as soon as the moment condition $\mu(|f|^p) < \infty$ holds for $p > 2b/(b-1)$. For T_γ , the covariances decay is of order $n^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}$, so that one can expect the moment condition $\nu_\gamma(|f|^p) < \infty$ for $p > (2-2\gamma)/(1-2\gamma)$. For instance, if $f(x) = x^{-a}$, since the density of ν_γ is of order $x^{-\gamma}$ near 0, the moment condition is satisfied if $0 < a < 1/2 - \gamma$, which is coherent with Gouëzel's result (2004). However, since the chain (K_γ, ν_γ) is not β -mixing, the condition $\nu_\gamma(|f|^p) < \infty$ for $p > (2-2\gamma)/(1-2\gamma)$ alone is not sufficient to imply the central limit theorem, and one still needs some regularity on f .

Let us now define the class of functions of interest. For any probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} , any $M > 0$ and any $p \in]1, \infty]$, let $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$ be the class of functions g which are monotonic on some open interval of \mathbb{R} and null elsewhere, and such that $\mu(|g| > t) \leq M^p t^{-p}$ for $p < \infty$ and $\mu(|g| > M) = 0$ for $p = \infty$. Let $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ be the closure in $\mathbb{L}^1(\mu)$ of the set of functions which can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \leq 1$ and g_i belongs to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$. Note that a function belonging to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ is allowed to blow up at an infinite number of points.

In Corollary 4.1 of the present paper, we prove that if f belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \nu_\gamma)$ for $p > (2-2\gamma)/(1-2\gamma)$, then $n^{-1/2}(S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f)))$ converges in distribution to a normal law. We also give some conditions on p to obtain rates of convergence in the central limit theorem (Corollary 5.1), as well as moment inequalities for $S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$ (Corollary 6.1). Finally, a central limit theorem for the empirical distribution function of $(T_\gamma^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is given in the last section (Corollary 7.1).

To prove these results, we compute the β -dependence coefficients (cf Dedecker and Prieur (2005, 2007)) of the Markov chain (K_γ, ν_γ) . The main tool is a precise estimate of the Perron-Frobenius operator of the map F associated to T_γ on the Young tower, due to Maume-Deschamps (2001). Next, we apply some general results for β -dependent Markov chains. For the sake of simplicity, we give all

the computations in the case of the maps T_γ , but our arguments remain valid for many other systems modelled by Young towers.

2. THE MAIN INEQUALITY

For any Markov kernel K with invariant measure μ , any non-negative integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k , and any bounded measurable functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k , define

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) &= K^{n_1}(f_1) K^{n_2}(f_2) K^{n_3}(f_3 \cdots K^{n_{k-1}}(f_{k-1}) K^{n_k}(f_k)) \cdots), \text{ and} \\ K^{(0)(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) &= K^{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) - \mu(K^{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)). \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in]0, 1]$ and $c > 0$, let $H_{\alpha, c}$ be the set of functions f such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|^\alpha$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\gamma \in]0, 1[$, and let $f^{(0)} = f - \nu_\gamma(f)$. For any $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\nu_\gamma \left(\sup_{f_1, \dots, f_k \in H_{\alpha, 1}} |K_\gamma^{(0)(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1^{(0)}, f_2^{(0)}, \dots, f_k^{(0)})| \right) \leq \frac{C(\alpha, k)(\ln(n_1 + 1))^2}{(n_1 + 1)^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma}}.$$

In particular,

$$\nu_\gamma \left(\sup_{f \in H_{\alpha, 1}} |K_\gamma^n f - \nu_\gamma(f)| \right) \leq \frac{C(\alpha, 1)(\ln(n + 1))^2}{(n + 1)^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We refer to the paper by Young (1999) for the construction of the tower Δ associated to T_γ (with floors Λ_ℓ), and for the mappings π from Δ to $[0, 1]$ and F from Δ to Δ such that $T_\gamma \circ \pi = \pi \circ F$. On Δ there is a probability measure m_0 and an unique F -invariant probability measure $\bar{\nu}$ with density h_0 with respect to m_0 , and $\bar{\nu}(\Lambda_\ell) = O(\ell^{-1/\gamma})$. The unique T_γ -invariant probability measure ν_γ is then given by $\nu_\gamma = \bar{\nu}^\pi$. There exists a distance δ on Δ such that $\delta(x, y) \leq 1$ and $|\pi(x) - \pi(y)| \leq \kappa\delta(x, y)$. For $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, let $\delta_\alpha = \delta^\alpha$, let L_α be the space of Lipschitz functions with respect to δ_α , and let $L_\alpha(f) = \sup_{x, y \in \Delta} |f(x) - f(y)|/\delta_\alpha(x, y)$. Let $L_{\alpha, c}$ be the set of functions such that $L_\alpha(f) \leq c$. For φ in $H_{\alpha, c}$, the function $\varphi \circ \pi$ belongs to $L_{\alpha, c\kappa^\alpha}$. Any function f in L_α is bounded and the space L_α is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|f\|_\alpha = L_\alpha(f) + \|f\|_\infty$. The density h_0 belongs to any L_α and $1/h_0$ is bounded. As in Maume-Deschamps (2001), we denote by \mathcal{L}_0 the Perron-Frobenius operator of F with respect to m_0 , and by P the Perron-Frobenius operator of F with respect to $\bar{\nu}$: for any bounded measurable functions φ, ψ ,

$$m_0(\varphi \cdot \psi \circ F) = m_0(\mathcal{L}_0(\varphi)\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nu}(\varphi \cdot \psi \circ F) = \bar{\nu}(P(\varphi)\psi).$$

We first state a useful lemma

Lemma 2.1. *For any positive n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k and any bounded measurable functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} , one has*

$$K_\gamma^{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) \circ \pi = \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}}(P^{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}(f_1 \circ \pi, f_2 \circ \pi, \dots, f_k \circ \pi) | \pi).$$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 for $k = 2$, the general case being similar. Applying Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f, g \in H_{\alpha, 1}} |K_\gamma^n(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})(x) - \nu_\gamma(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})| \\ \leq \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}} \left(\sup_{\phi, \psi \in L_{\alpha, \kappa^\alpha}} |P^n(\phi^{(0)} P^m \psi^{(0)}) - \bar{\nu}(\phi^{(0)} P^m \psi^{(0)})| \middle| \pi = x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we need the following lemma, which is derived from Lemma 3.4 in Maume-Deschamps (2001).

Lemma 2.2. *There exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that, for any $\psi \in L_\alpha$,*

$$|P^m\psi(x) - P^m\psi(y)| \leq M_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) \|\psi^{(0)}\|_\alpha \leq 2M_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) L_\alpha(\psi).$$

Hence, if $\psi \in L_{\alpha, \kappa^\alpha}$, then $P^m(\psi^{(0)})$ belongs to $L_{\alpha, 2M_\alpha \kappa^\alpha}$ and is centered, so that $\phi^{(0)} P^m \psi^{(0)}$ belongs to $L_{\alpha, 4M_\alpha \kappa^{2\alpha}}$. It follows that

$$\sup_{f, g \in H_{\alpha, 1}} |K_\gamma^n(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})(x) - \nu(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})| \leq 4M_\alpha \kappa^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}} \left(\sup_{\varphi \in L_{\alpha, 1}} |P^n(\varphi) - \bar{\nu}(\varphi)| \middle| \pi = x \right).$$

Next, we apply the following Lemma, which is derived from Corollary 3.14 in Maume-Deschamps (2001).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $v_\ell = (\ell + 1)^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma} (\ln(\ell + 1))^{-2}$. There exists $C_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}} \left(\sup_{\varphi \in L_{\alpha, 1}} |P^n(\varphi) - \bar{\nu}(\varphi)| \middle| \pi = x \right) \leq C_\alpha (\ln(n+1))^2 (n+1)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} v_\ell \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{1}_{\Lambda_\ell} | \pi = x).$$

Hence

$$\nu_\gamma \left(\sup_{f, g \in H_{\alpha, 1}} |K_\gamma^n(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)}) - \nu(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})| \right) \leq 4M_\alpha \kappa^{2\alpha} C_\alpha (\ln(n+1))^2 (n+1)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} v_\ell \bar{\nu}(\Lambda_\ell).$$

Since $\bar{\nu}(\Lambda_\ell) = O(\ell^{-1/\gamma})$, the result follows.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We write the proof for $k = 2$ only, the general case being similar. Let φ, f and g be three bounded measurable functions. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\gamma(\varphi K_\gamma^n(f K_\gamma^m g)) &= \nu_\gamma(\varphi \circ T_\gamma^{n+m} \cdot f \circ T_\gamma^m \cdot g) \\ &= \bar{\nu}(\varphi \circ \pi \circ F^{n+m} \cdot f \circ \pi \circ F^m \cdot g \circ \pi) \\ &= \bar{\nu}(\varphi \circ \pi P^n(f \circ \pi P^m(g \circ \pi))) \\ &= \bar{\nu}(\varphi \circ \pi \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}}(P^n(f \circ \pi P^m(g \circ \pi)) | \pi)) \\ &= \int \varphi(x) \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\nu}}(P^n(f \circ \pi P^m(g \circ \pi)) | \pi = x) \nu_\gamma(dx), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 2.1 for $k = 2$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Applying Lemma 3.4 in Maume-Deschamps (2001) with $v_k = 1$, we see that there exists $D_\alpha > 0$ such that, for any ψ in L_α ,

$$|\mathcal{L}_0^m \psi(x) - \mathcal{L}_0^m \psi(y)| \leq D_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) \|\psi\|_\alpha.$$

Now $P^m(\psi) = \mathcal{L}_0^m(\psi h_0)/h_0$. Since $1/h_0$ is bounded by $B(h_0)$, and since h_0 belongs to L_α , it follows that

$$|P^m\psi(x) - P^m\psi(y)| \leq D_\alpha B(h_0) \|h_0\|_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) \|\psi\|_\alpha.$$

Let $M_\alpha = D_\alpha B(h_0) \|h_0\|_\alpha$. Since $|P^m\psi(x) - P^m\psi(y)| = |P^m\psi^{(0)}(x) - P^m\psi^{(0)}(y)|$ and since $\|\psi^{(0)}\|_\infty \leq L_\alpha(\psi)$, it follows that

$$|P^m\psi(x) - P^m\psi(y)| \leq M_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) \|\psi^{(0)}\|_\alpha \leq 2M_\alpha \delta_\alpha(x, y) L_\alpha(\psi).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Applying Corollary 3.14 in Maume-Deschamps (2001), there exists $B_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{L}_0^n f - h_0 m_0(f)| \leq B_\alpha \|f\|_\alpha (\ln(n+1))^2 (n+1)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} v_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_\ell}.$$

It follows that, with the notations of the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$|P^n(f) - \bar{\nu}(f)| \leq B_\alpha B(h_0) \|h_0\|_\alpha \|f\|_\alpha (\ln(n+1))^2 (n+1)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} v_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_\ell}.$$

Since $|P^n(f) - \bar{\nu}(f)| = |P^n(f^{(0)}) - \bar{\nu}(f^{(0)})|$ and since $\|f^{(0)}\|_\infty \leq L_\alpha(f)$, it follows that

$$|P^n(f) - \bar{\nu}(f)| \leq 2B_\alpha B(h_0) \|h_0\|_\alpha L_\alpha(f) (\ln(n+1))^2 (n+1)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} v_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_\ell},$$

and the result follows.

3. THE DEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure μ and transition kernel K . Let $f_t(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x \leq t}$. As in Dedecker and Prieur (2005, 2007), define the coefficients $\alpha_k(n)$ of the stationary Markov chain $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(n) &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mu(|K^n(f_t) - \mu(f_t)|), \quad \text{and for } k \geq 2, \\ \alpha_k(n) &= \alpha_1(n) \vee \sup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \sup_{n_2 \geq 1, \dots, n_l \geq 1} \sup_{t_1, \dots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}} \mu(|K^{(0)(n, n_2, \dots, n_l)}(f_{t_1}, f_{t_2}, \dots, f_{t_l})|). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, define the coefficients $\beta_k(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(n) &= \mu\left(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |K^n(f_t) - \mu(f_t)|\right), \quad \text{and for } k \geq 2, \\ \beta_k(n) &= \beta_1(n) \vee \sup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \sup_{n_2 \geq 1, \dots, n_l \geq 1} \mu\left(\sup_{t_1, \dots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}} |K^{(0)(n, n_2, \dots, n_l)}(f_{t_1}, f_{t_2}, \dots, f_{t_l})|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure ν_γ and transition kernel K_γ . There exist two positive constants $C_1(\gamma)$ and $C_2(\delta, \gamma, k)$ such that, for any δ in $]0, (1-\gamma)/\gamma[$ and any positive integer k ,

$$C_1(\gamma)(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \leq \alpha_k(n) \leq \beta_k(n) \leq C_2(\delta, \gamma, k)(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} + \delta}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Applying Proposition 2, Item 2, in Dedecker and Prieur (2005), we know that

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\sup_{f \in H_{1,1}} |K_\gamma^n f - \nu_\gamma(f)|\right) \leq 2\alpha_1(n).$$

Hence, for any φ such that $|\varphi| \leq 1$ and any f in $H_{1,1}$,

$$\nu_\gamma(\varphi \cdot (K_\gamma^n f - \nu_\gamma(f))) = \nu_\gamma(\varphi \circ T^n \cdot (f - \nu_\gamma(f))) \leq 2\alpha_1(n)$$

The lower bound for $\alpha_k(n)$ follows from the lower bound for $\nu_\gamma(\varphi \circ T^n \cdot (f - \nu_\gamma(f)))$ given by Sarig (2002), Corollary 1.

It remains to prove the upper bound. The point is to approximate the indicator $f_t(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x \leq t}$ by some α -Hölder function. Let

$$f_{t,\epsilon,\alpha}(x) = f_t(x) + \left(1 - \left(\frac{x-t}{\epsilon}\right)^\alpha\right) \mathbf{1}_{t < x \leq t+\epsilon}.$$

This function is α -Hölder with Hölder constant $\epsilon^{-\alpha}$. We now prove the upper bounds for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ only, the general case being similar. For $k = 1$, one has

$$K_\gamma^n(f_{t-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}) - \nu_\gamma(f_{t-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}) - \nu_\gamma([t-\epsilon, t]) \leq K_\gamma^n(f_t) - \nu_\gamma(f_t) \leq K_\gamma^n(f_{t,\epsilon,\alpha}) - \nu_\gamma(f_{t,\epsilon,\alpha}) + \nu_\gamma([t, t+\epsilon]).$$

Since the density g_{ν_γ} of ν_γ is such that $g_{\nu_\gamma}(x) \leq V(\gamma)x^{-\gamma}$, we infer that for any real a , $\nu_\gamma([a, a+\epsilon]) \leq V(\gamma)\epsilon^{1-\gamma}(1-\gamma)^{-1}$. Consequently,

$$|K_\gamma^n(f_t) - \nu_\gamma(f_t)| \leq \epsilon^{-\alpha} \sup_{f \in H_{\alpha,1}} |K_\gamma^n(f) - \nu_\gamma(f)| + \frac{V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \epsilon^{1-\gamma}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 with $k = 1$, we obtain that

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |K_\gamma^n(f_t) - \nu_\gamma(f_t)|\right) \leq C(\alpha, 1)\epsilon^{-\alpha}(\ln(n+1))^2(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + \frac{V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \epsilon^{1-\gamma}.$$

The optimal ϵ is equal to

$$\epsilon = \left(\frac{\alpha C(\alpha, 1)(\ln(n+1))^2(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}{V(\gamma)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1-\gamma}}.$$

Consequently, for some positive constant $D(\gamma, \alpha)$, one has

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |K_\gamma^n(f_t) - \nu_\gamma(f_t)|\right) \leq D(\gamma, \alpha) \left((\ln(n+1))^2(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha+1-\gamma}}.$$

Choosing $\alpha < \delta\gamma(1-\gamma)/(1-\gamma(1+\delta))$, the result follows for $k = 1$.

We now prove the result for $k = 2$. Clearly, the four following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\gamma^n(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) &\leq K_\gamma^n(f_{t,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_{s,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)}) + \nu_\gamma([t, t+\epsilon]) + \nu_\gamma([s, s+\epsilon]), \\ K_\gamma^n(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) &\geq K_\gamma^n(f_{t-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_{s-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)}) - \nu_\gamma([t-\epsilon, t]) - \nu_\gamma([s-\epsilon, s]), \\ \nu_\gamma(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) &\geq \nu_\gamma(f_{t,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_{s,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)}) - 2\nu_\gamma([t, t+\epsilon]) - \nu_\gamma([s, s+\epsilon]), \\ \nu_\gamma(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) &\leq \nu_\gamma(f_{t-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_{s-\epsilon,\epsilon,\alpha}^{(0)}) + 2\nu_\gamma([t-\epsilon, t]) + \nu_\gamma([s-\epsilon, s]). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$|K_\gamma^n(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) - \nu_\gamma(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)})| \leq \epsilon^{-\alpha} \sup_{f,g \in H_{\alpha,1}} |K_\gamma^n(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)}) - \nu_\gamma(f^{(0)} K_\gamma^m g^{(0)})| + \frac{5V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \epsilon^{1-\gamma}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |K_\gamma^n(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)}) - \nu_\gamma(f_t^{(0)} K_\gamma^m f_s^{(0)})|\right) \leq C(\alpha, 2)\epsilon^{-\alpha}(\ln(n+1))^2(n+1)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + \frac{5V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \epsilon^{1-\gamma},$$

and the proof can be completed as for $k = 1$.

4. CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS

In this section we give a central limit theorem for $S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$ when f belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ defined in the introduction. Note that any function f with bounded variation (BV) such that $|f| \leq M_1$ and $\|df\| \leq M_2$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(M_1 + 2M_2, \infty, \mu)$. Hence, any BV function f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, \infty, \mu)$ for some M large enough. If g is monotonic on some open interval of \mathbb{R} and null elsewhere, and if $\mu(|g|^p) \leq M^p$, then g belongs to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$. Conversely, any function in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}^q(\mu)$ for $1 \leq q < p$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary and ergodic (in the ergodic theoretic sense) Markov chain with invariant measure μ and transition kernel K . Assume that f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]2, \infty]$, and that*

$$\sum_{k>0} (\alpha_1(k))^{\frac{p-2}{p}} < \infty.$$

The following results hold:

- (1) *The series*

$$\sigma^2(\mu, K, f) = \mu((f - \mu(f))^2) + 2 \sum_{k>0} \mu((f - \mu(f))K^k(f))$$

converges to some non negative constant, and $n^{-1}\text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i))$ converges to $\sigma^2(\mu, K, f)$.

- (2) *Let $(D([0, 1], d)$ be the space of cadlag functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} equipped with the Skorohod metric d . The process $\{n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (f(X_i) - \mu(f)), t \in [0, 1]\}$ converges in distribution in $(D([0, 1], d)$ to $\sigma(\mu, K, f)W$, where W is a standard Wiener process.*
- (3) *One has the representation*

$$f(X_1) - \mu(f) = m(X_1, X_0) + g(X_1) - g(X_0)$$

with $\mu(|g|^{p/(p-1)}) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(m(X_1, X_0)|X_0) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(m^2(X_1, X_0)) = \sigma^2(\mu, K, f)$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\gamma \in]0, 1/2[$. If f belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \nu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p > (2 - 2\gamma)/(1 - 2\gamma)$, then $n^{-1/2}S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(\nu_\gamma, K_\gamma, f))$.*

Remark 4.1. *We infer from Corollary (4.1) that the central limit theorem holds for any BV function provided $\gamma < 1/2$. Under the same condition on γ , Young (1999) has proved that the central limit theorem holds for any α -Hölder function. For the map $\theta_\gamma(x) = x(1 - x^\gamma)^{-1/\gamma} - [x(1 - x^\gamma)^{-1/\gamma}]$ and $\gamma < 1/2$, the central limit theorem for BV functions is a consequence of Corollary 1.7(i) in Raugi (2004).*

Two simple examples.

- (1) Assume that f is positive and non increasing on $]0, 1[$, with $f(x) \leq Cx^{-a}$ for some $a \geq 0$. Since the density g_{ν_γ} of ν_γ is such that $g_{\nu_\gamma}(x) \leq V(\gamma)x^{-\gamma}$, we infer that

$$\nu_\gamma(f > t) \leq \frac{C^{\frac{1-\gamma}{a}}V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma}t^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{a}}.$$

Hence the CLT holds as soon as $a < \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$.

- (2) Assume now that f is positive and non decreasing on $]0, 1[$ with $f(x) \leq C(1-x)^{-a}$ for some $a \geq 0$. Here

$$\nu_\gamma(f > t) \leq \frac{V(\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{C}{t} \right)^{1/a} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right).$$

Hence the CLT holds as soon as $a < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2(1-\gamma)}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$. From Dedecker and Rio (2000), Items (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.1 hold as soon as

$$\sum_{n>0} \| (f(X_0) - \mu(f)) (\mathbb{E}(f(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(f)) \|_1 < \infty.$$

Assume first that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \leq 1$, and g_i belongs to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$. Clearly, the series on left side is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k |a_i a_j| \sum_{n>0} \| (g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i)) (\mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j)) \|_1.$$

Here, we use the following lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let g_i and g_j be two functions in $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $p \in]2, \infty]$. For any $1 \leq q \leq p$ one has*

$$\| \mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j) \|_q \leq 2M \left(\frac{p}{p-q} \right)^{1/q} (2\alpha_1(n))^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}.$$

For any $1 \leq q < p/2$, one has

$$\| (g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i)) (\mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j)) \|_q \leq 4M^2 \left(\frac{p}{p-2q} \right)^{1/q} (2\alpha_1(n))^{\frac{p-2q}{pq}}.$$

>From Lemma 4.1 with $q = 1$, we conclude that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n>0} \| (f(X_0) - \mu(f)) (\mathbb{E}(f(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(f)) \|_1 \leq \frac{4pM^2}{p-2} \sum_{n>0} (2\alpha_1(n))^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.$$

Since the bound (4.1) is true for any function $f = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i$, it is true also for any f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$, and Items (1) and (2) follow.

The last assertion is rather standard. From the first inequality of Lemma 4.1 with $q = p/(p-1)$, we infer that if $\sum_{n>0} (\alpha_1(n))^{(p-2)/p} < \infty$, then $\sum_{n>0} \| \mathbb{E}(f(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(f) \|_{p/(p-1)} < \infty$ for any f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$. It follows that $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(f(X_k) - \mu(f)|X_0 = x)$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}^{p/(p-1)}(\mu)$ and that $m(X_1, X_0) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\mathbb{E}(f(X_k)|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(f(X_k)|X_1))$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}^{p/(p-1)}$. Clearly

$$f(X_1) - \mu(f) = m(X_1, X_0) + g(X_0) - g(X_1),$$

with $\mathbb{E}(m(X_1, X_0)|X_0) = 0$. Moreover, it follows from the preceding result that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n m(X_k, X_{k-1}) \right\|_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (f(X_k) - \mu(f)) \right\|_1 \leq \sigma(\mu, K, f).$$

By Theorem 1 in Esseen an Janson (1985), it follows that $\mathbb{E}(m^2(X_1, X_0)) = \sigma^2(\mu, K, f)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We only prove the second inequality (the proof of the first one is easier). Let $r = q/(q-1)$ and let $B_r(\sigma(X_0))$ be the set of $\sigma(X_0)$ -measurable random variables such that $\|Y\|_r \leq 1$. By duality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i))(\mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j))\|_q &= \sup_{Y \in B_r(\sigma(X_0))} \mathbb{E}(Y(g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i))(g_j(X_n) - \mu(g_j))) \\ &= \sup_{Y \in B_r(\sigma(X_0))} \text{Cov}(Y(g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i), g_j(X_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Define the coefficients $\alpha_{k,g}(n)$ of the sequence $(g(X_i))_{i \geq 0}$ as in Section 3 with $g \circ f_t$ instead of f_t . If g is monotonic on some open interval of \mathbb{R} and null elsewhere, the set $\{x : g(x) \leq t\}$ is either some interval or the complement of some interval, so that $\alpha_{k,g}(n) \leq 2^k \alpha_k(n)$. Let Q_Y be the generalized inverse of the tail function $t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|Y| > t)$. From Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1 in Rio (2000), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Y g_i(X_0), g_j(X_n)) &\leq 2 \int_0^{\alpha_{1,g_i}(n)} Q_Y(u) Q_{g_i(X_0)}(u) Q_{g_j(X_0)}(u) du \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{2\alpha_1(n)} Q_Y(u) Q_{g_i(X_0)}(u) Q_{g_j(X_0)}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, applying first Theorem 1.1 in Rio (2000) and next Fréchet's inequality (1957) (see also Inequality (1.11b) in Rio (2000)),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Y \mu(g_i), g_j(X_n)) &\leq 2\mu(|g_i|) \int_0^{2\alpha_1(n)} Q_Y(u) Q_{g_j(X_0)}(u) du \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{2\alpha_1(n)} Q_Y(u) Q_{g_i(X_0)}(u) Q_{g_j(X_0)}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^1 Q_Y^r(u) du \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|(g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i))(\mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j))\|_q \leq 4 \left(\int_0^{2\alpha_1(n)} Q_{g_i(X_0)}^q(u) Q_{g_j(X_0)}^q(u) du \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since g_i and g_j belong to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $p > 2q$, we have that $Q_{g_i(X_0)}(u)$ and $Q_{g_j(X_0)}(u)$ are smaller than $Mu^{-1/p}$, and the result follows.

Proof of Corollary 4.1. We have seen that $(T_\gamma^1, \dots, T_\gamma^n)$ is distributed as (X_n, \dots, X_1) where $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is the stationary Markov chain with invariant measure ν_γ and transition kernel K_γ . Consequently, on the probability space $([0, 1], \nu_\gamma)$, the sum $S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$ is distributed as $\sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \nu_\gamma(f))$, so that $n^{-1/2} S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$ satisfies the central limit theorem if and only if $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \nu_\gamma(f))$ does. Moreover, we infer from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\alpha_1(n) = O(n^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} + \epsilon})$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently, if $p > (2 - 2\gamma)/(1 - 2\gamma)$, one has that $\sum_{k>0} (\alpha_1(n))^{\frac{p-2}{p}} < \infty$ so that Theorem 4.1 applies: the central limit theorem holds provided that f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \nu_\gamma)$.

5. RATES OF CONVERGENCE IN THE CLT

Let c be some concave function from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ , with $c(0) = 0$. Denote by Lip_c the set of functions g such that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq c(|x - y|).$$

When $c(x) = x^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, we have $\text{Lip}_c = H_{\alpha, 1}$. For two probability measures P, Q with finite first moment, let

$$d_c(P, Q) = \sup_{g \in \text{Lip}_c} |P(g) - Q(g)|.$$

When $c = \text{Id}$, we write $d_c = d_1$. Note that $d_1(P, Q)$ is the so-called Kantorovič distance between P and Q .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure μ and transition kernel K . Let $\sigma^2(f) = \sigma^2(\mu, K, f)$ be the non-negative number defined in Theorem 4.1, and let $G_{\sigma^2(f)}$ be the Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2(f)$. Let $P_n(f)$ be the distribution of the normalized sum $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mu(f))$.*

(1) *Assume that f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]2, \infty]$, and that*

$$\sum_{k>0} (\alpha_1(k))^{\frac{p-2}{p}} < \infty.$$

If $\sigma^2(f) = 0$, then $d_c(P_n(f), \delta_{\{0\}}) = O(c(n^{-1/2}))$.

(2) *If f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]3, \infty]$, and if*

$$\sum_{k>0} k(\alpha_3(k))^{\frac{p-3}{p}} < \infty,$$

then $d_c(P_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(c(n^{-1/2}))$.

(3) *If f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]3, \infty]$, and if*

$$\alpha_2(k) = O(k^{-(1+\delta)p/(p-3)}) \quad \text{for some } \delta \in]0, 1[,$$

then $d_c(P_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(c(n^{-\delta/2}))$.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $\gamma < 1/(2+\delta)$, and let $\mu_n(f)$ be the distribution of $n^{-1/2} S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))$. If f belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \nu_\gamma)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p > (3 - 3\gamma)/(1 - (2 + \delta)\gamma)$, then $d_c(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(c(n^{-\delta/2}))$, where $\sigma^2(f) = \sigma^2(\nu_\gamma, K_\gamma, f)$.*

Remark 5.1. *We infer from Corollary 5.1 that if f is BV , then $d_1(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(n^{-1/2})$ if $\gamma < 1/3$, and $d_1(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(n^{-\delta/2})$ if $\gamma < 1/(2 + \delta)$. Denote by $d_{BV}(P, Q)$ the uniform distance between the distribution functions of P and Q . If f is α -Hölder, Gouëzel (2005, Theorem 1.5) has proved that $d_{BV}(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(n^{-1/2})$ if $\gamma < 1/3$, and $d_{BV}(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(n^{-\delta/2})$ if $\gamma = 1/(2 + \delta)$. In fact, from a general result of Bolthausen (1982) for Harris recurrent Markov chains, we conjecture that the results of Corollary 5.1 are true with d_{BV} instead of d_1 .*

Two simple examples (continued).

(1) Assume that f is positive and non increasing on $[0, 1]$, with $f(x) \leq Cx^{-a}$ for some $a \geq 0$. Let $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $\gamma < 1/(2 + \delta)$. If $a < \frac{1}{3} - \frac{(2+\delta)\gamma}{3}$, then $d_c(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(c(n^{-\delta/2}))$.

(2) Assume that f is positive and non increasing on $[0, 1]$, with $f(x) \leq C(1-x)^{-a}$ for some $a \geq 0$.

Let $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $\gamma < 1/(2 + \delta)$. If $a < \frac{1}{3} - \frac{(1+\delta)\gamma}{3(1-\gamma)}$, then $d_c(\mu_n(f), G_{\sigma^2(f)}) = O(c(n^{-\delta/2}))$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. From the Kantorovič-Rubinštejn theorem (1957), there exists a probability measure π with margins P and Q , such that $d_1(P, Q) = \int |x - y| \pi(dx, dy)$. Since c is concave, we then have

$$d_c(P, Q) = \sup_{f \in H_c} \left| \int (f(x) - f(y)) \pi(dx, dy) \right| \leq \int c(|x - y|) \pi(dx, dy) \leq c(d_1(P, Q)).$$

Hence, it is enough to prove the theorem for d_1 only.

If $\sum_{k>0} (\alpha_1(k))^{(p-2)/p} < \infty$, f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]2, \infty]$, and $\sigma^2(f) = 0$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $f(X_1) = g(X_0) - g(X_1)$ with $\mu(|g|) < \infty$. Hence

$$d_1(P_n(f), \delta_{\{0\}}) \leq \frac{2\mu(|g|)}{\sqrt{n}},$$

and Item (1) is proved.

>From now, we assume that $\sigma^2(f) > 0$ (otherwise, the result follows from Item (1)). If $f = g_1 - g_2$, where g_1, g_2 belong to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]3, \infty]$, Item (2) of Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 3.1(b) in Dedecker and Rio (2007). In fact the proof remains unchanged if f belongs to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p \in]3, \infty]$.

It remains to prove Item (3). Let $Y_k = f(X_k) - \mu(f)$, $\sigma^2(f) = \sigma^2$, and $s_m = \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i$. Define

$$W_m = A_m + B_m, \quad \text{with } A_m = \mathbb{E}(s_m^2 | X_0) - m\sigma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_m = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left(Y_k \sum_{i>m} Y_i \middle| X_0\right).$$

>From Theorem 2.2 in Dedecker and Rio (2007), we have that, if $\sum_{k>0} \|Y_0 \mathbb{E}(Y_k | X_0)\|_1 < \infty$,

$$(5.2) \quad \sqrt{n} d_1(P_n(f), G_{\sigma^2}) \leq C \ln(n) + \sum_{m=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{2n} \rceil} \frac{\|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma) W_m\|_1}{m\sigma^2} + D_{1,n} + D_{2,n},$$

where

$$D_{1,n} = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i \geq m} \|Y_0 \mathbb{E}(Y_i | X_0)\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{2,n} = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma^2 m} \sum_{k=1}^m \|(\sigma^2 + Y_0^2) \mathbb{E}(Y_k | X_0)\|_1.$$

>From Lemma 4.1 with $q = 1$, the bound (4.1) holds for any f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for $p > 2$. Consequently, if $\alpha_2(k) = O(k^{-(1+\delta)p/(p-3)})$ for some $\delta \in]0, 1[$ and $p > 3$, then $\sum_{k>0} \|Y_0 \mathbb{E}(Y_k | X_0)\|_1 < \infty$, so that the bound (5.2) holds. Moreover $n^{-1/2} D_{1,n} = O(n^{-1/2} \ln(n) \vee n^{-\delta})$. Arguing as in Lemma 4.1, one can prove that

$$\|Y_0^2 \mathbb{E}(Y_k | X_0)\|_1 \leq C(M, p) (\alpha_1(k))^{\frac{p-3}{p}},$$

so that $n^{-1/2} D_{2,n} = O(n^{-1/2} \ln(n))$.

Arguing as in Lemma 4.1, one can prove that, for $0 < k < i$,

$$(5.3) \quad \|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma) \mathbb{E}(Y_k Y_i | X_0)\|_1 \leq \|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma) Y_k \mathbb{E}(Y_i | X_k)\|_1 \leq C(M, p, \sigma) (\alpha_1(i-k))^{\frac{p-3}{p}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{2n}]} \frac{\|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma)B_m\|_1}{m\sigma^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{2n}]} \frac{1}{m\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i>m} \frac{1}{(i-k)^{1+\delta}}\right) = O(n^{-\delta/2}).$$

Now,

$$\frac{\|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma)A_m\|_1}{m} \leq \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=i}^m \|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma)(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 + (\|Y_0\|_1 + 2\sigma) \left| \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}(s_m^2) - \sigma^2 \right|.$$

For the second term on right hand, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}(s_m^2) - \sigma^2 \right| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \wedge m}{m} |\mathbb{E}(Y_0 Y_k)| = O\left(\sum_{k>0} \frac{k \wedge m}{m} (\alpha_1(k))^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\right) = O(m^{-\delta}),$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{2n}]} \left| \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}(s_m^2) - \sigma^2 \right| = O(n^{-\delta/2}).$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to prove that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{2n}]} \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=i}^m \|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma)(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 = O(n^{-\delta/2}).$$

Applying first (5.3), we have for $j > i$,

$$(5.5) \quad \|(|Y_0| + 2\sigma)(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 \leq 2C(M, p, \sigma) (\alpha_1(j-i))^{\frac{p-3}{p}}.$$

We need a second bound for this quantity. Assume first that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \leq 1$ and g_i belongs to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$. Let $g_i^{(0)} = g_i - \mu(g_i)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Y_0(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 \\ & \leq \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{q=1}^k \sum_{r=1}^k |a_l a_q a_r| \|g_l^{(0)}(X_0)(\mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j) | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j)))\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

For three real-valued random variables A, B, C , define the numbers $\bar{\alpha}(A, B)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(A, B, C)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(A, B) &= \sup_{s, t \in \mathbb{R}} |\text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{A \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{B \leq t})| \\ \bar{\alpha}(A, B, C) &= \sup_{s, t, u \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{1}_{A \leq s} - \mathbb{P}(A \leq s))(\mathbf{1}_{B \leq t} - \mathbb{P}(B \leq t))(\mathbf{1}_{C \leq u} - \mathbb{P}(C \leq u)))| \end{aligned}$$

(note that $\bar{\alpha}(A, B, B) \leq \bar{\alpha}(A, B)$). Let

$$A = |g_l^{(0)}(X_0)| \text{sign}\{\mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j) | X_0) - \mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j))\},$$

and note that $Q_A = Q_{g_l^{(0)}(X_0)}$. From Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.1 in Dedecker and Rio (2007), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_l^{(0)}(X_0)(\mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j)|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j)))\|_1 &= \mathbb{E}((A - \mathbb{E}(A))g_q^{(0)}(X_i)g_r^{(0)}(X_j)) \\ &\leq 16 \int_0^{\bar{\alpha}(A, g_q(X_i), g_r(X_j))/2} Q_{g_l^{(0)}(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_q(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_r(X_0)}(u)du. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_{g_l^{(0)}(X_0)} \leq Q_{g_l(X_0)} + \|g_l(X_0)\|_1$. Hence, by Fréchet's inequality (1957),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\bar{\alpha}(A, g_q(X_i), g_r(X_j))/2} Q_{g_l^{(0)}(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_q(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_r(X_0)}(u)du \\ \leq 2 \int_0^{\bar{\alpha}(A, g_q(X_i), g_r(X_j))/2} Q_{g_l(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_q(X_0)}(u)Q_{g_r(X_0)}(u)du. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{g_i(x) \leq t\}$ is some interval of \mathbb{R} , we have that for $j > i \geq 1$

$$\bar{\alpha}(A, g_q(X_i), g_r(X_j)) \leq 4\bar{\alpha}(A, X_i, X_j) \leq 4\alpha_2(i),$$

and for $i = j$,

$$\bar{\alpha}(A, g_q(X_i), g_r(X_i)) \leq 4\bar{\alpha}(A, X_i, X_i) \leq 4\bar{\alpha}(X_0, X_i) \leq 4\alpha_1(i) \leq 4\alpha_2(i).$$

Since $Q_{g_i(X_0)}(u) \leq Mu^{-1/p}$, it follows that, for $1 \leq i \leq j$,

$$\|g_l(X_0)(\mathbb{E}(g_q(X_i)g_r(X_j)|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(g_q(X_i)g_r(X_j)))\|_1 \leq \frac{32M^3p}{p-3}(2\alpha_2(i))^{\frac{p-3}{p}}.$$

Consequently, for any f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ with $p > 3$,

$$\|Y_0(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 \leq \frac{32M^3p}{p-3}(2\alpha_2(i))^{\frac{p-3}{p}}.$$

In the same way,

$$2\sigma\|\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j)\|_1 \leq \frac{32\sigma M^2 p}{p-2}(2\alpha_2(i))^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.$$

It follows that, for any $1 \leq i \leq j$,

$$(5.6) \quad \|(Y_0| + 2\sigma)(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 \leq D(M, p, \sigma)(\alpha_2(i))^{\frac{p-3}{p}}.$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.6), we infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=i}^m \|(Y_0| + 2\sigma)(\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j|X_0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j))\|_1 = O(m^{1-\delta}),$$

and (5.4) easily follows. This completes the proof.

6. MOMENT INEQUALITIES

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure μ and transition kernel K . If f belong to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p > 2$, then, for any $2 \leq q < p$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mu(f)) \right\|_q \leq \sqrt{2q} \left(n \|f(X_0) - \mu(f)\|_q^2 + 4M^2 \left(\frac{p}{p-q} \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) (2\alpha_1(k))^{\frac{2(p-q)}{pq}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Corollary 6.1. Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Let f belong to $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$ for some $M > 0$ and some $p > 2$, and let $2 \leq q < p$.

- (1) If $\gamma < 2(p-q)/(2(p-q)+pq)$, then $\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O(\sqrt{n})$.
- (2) If $2(p-q)/(2(p-q)+pq) \leq \gamma < 1$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O\left(n^{1+\epsilon-\frac{(1-\gamma)(p-q)}{\gamma pq}}\right).$$

Remark 6.1. Assume that $\gamma < (p-2)/(2p-2)$. By Chebichev inequality applied with $2 \leq q < 2p(1-\gamma)/(\gamma p + 2(1-\gamma))$, we infer from Item (1) that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))| > x\right) \leq \frac{C}{(nx^2)^{p(1-\gamma)/(\gamma p + 2(1-\gamma))-\epsilon}}.$$

Assume now that $(p-2)/(2p-2) \leq \gamma < 1$. By Chebichev inequality applied with $q = 2$, we infer from Item (2) that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))| > x\right) \leq \frac{C}{x^2 n^{(p-2)(1-\gamma)/\gamma p - \epsilon}}.$$

When f is BV (case $p = \infty$) and $\gamma < 1$, we obtain that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $x > 0$,

$$\nu_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))| > x\right) \leq \frac{C(x)}{n^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma - \epsilon}}.$$

Note that Melbourne and Nicol (2007) obtained the same bound when f is α -Hölder and $\gamma < 1/2$.

Two simple examples (continued).

- (1) Assume that f is positive and non increasing on $[0, 1]$, with $f(x) \leq Cx^{-a}$ for some $a > 0$. If $a < \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ and $2 \leq q < \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\gamma+2a}$, then $\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O(\sqrt{n})$. If now $a < \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$ and $2 \vee \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\gamma+2a} \leq q < \frac{1-\gamma}{a}$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O\left(n^{1+\epsilon-\frac{(1-\gamma-aq)}{\gamma q}}\right).$$

- (2) Assume that f is positive and non increasing on $[0, 1]$, with $f(x) \leq C(1-x)^{-a}$ for some $a \geq 0$. If $a < \frac{1-2\gamma}{2(1-\gamma)}$ and $2 \leq q < \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\gamma+(1-\gamma)2a}$, then $\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O(\sqrt{n})$. If $a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $2 \vee \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\gamma+(1-\gamma)2a} \leq q < \frac{1}{a}$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))\|_q = O\left(n^{1+\epsilon-\frac{(1-\gamma)(1-aq)}{\gamma q}}\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. From Proposition 4 in Dedecker and Doukhan (2003) (see also Theorem 2.5 in Rio (2000)), we have that, for any $q \geq 2$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mu(f)) \right\|_q \leq \sqrt{2q} \left(n \|f(X_0) - \mu(f)\|_q^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) \| (f(X_0) - \mu(f)) (\mathbb{E}(f(X_k)|X_0) - \mu(f)) \|_{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assume first that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \leq 1$, and g_i belongs to $\text{Mon}(M, p, \mu)$. Clearly

$$\| (f(X_0) - \mu(f)) (\mathbb{E}(f(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(f)) \|_{q/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k |a_i a_j| \| (g_i(X_0) - \mu(g_i)) (\mathbb{E}(g_j(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(g_j)) \|_{q/2}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain that

$$\| (f(X_0) - \mu(f)) (\mathbb{E}(f(X_n)|X_0) - \mu(f)) \|_{q/2} \leq 4M^2 \left(\frac{p}{p-q} \right)^{2/q} (2\alpha_1(n))^{\frac{2(p-q)}{pq}}.$$

Clearly, this inequality remains valid for any f in $\mathcal{C}(M, p, \mu)$, and the result follows.

7. THE EMPIRICAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

Theorem 7.1. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a stationary Markov chain with invariant measure μ and transition kernel K . Let $F_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{X_i \leq t}$ and $F_\mu(t) = \mu([\cdot - \infty, t])$.

- (1) If \mathbf{X} is ergodic (in the ergodic theoretic sense) and if $\sum_{k>0} \beta_1(k) < \infty$, then, for any probability π on \mathbb{R} , the process $\{\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F_\mu(t)), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ converges in distribution in $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ to a tight Gaussian process G with covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(G(s), G(t)) = C_{\mu, K}(s, t) = \mu(f_t^{(0)} f_s^{(0)}) + 2 \sum_{k>0} \mu(f_t^{(0)} K^k f_s^{(0)}).$$

- (2) Let $(D(\mathbb{R}), d)$ be the space of cadlag functions equipped with the Skorohod metric d . If $\beta_2(k) = O(k^{-2-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then the process $\{\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F_\mu(t)), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ converges in distribution in $(D(\mathbb{R}), d)$ to a tight Gaussian process G with covariance function $C_{\mu, K}$.

Corollary 7.1. Let $F_{n,\gamma}(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{T_\gamma^i \leq t}$.

- (1) If $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, then, for any probability π on $[0, 1]$, the process $\{\sqrt{n}(F_{n,\gamma}(t) - F_{\nu_\gamma}(t)), t \in [0, 1]\}$ converges in distribution in $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ to a tight Gaussian process G_γ with covariance function C_{ν_γ, K_γ} .
- (2) If $0 < \gamma < 1/3$, the process $\{\sqrt{n}(F_{n,\gamma}(t) - F_{\nu_\gamma}(t)), t \in [0, 1]\}$ converges in distribution in $(D([0, 1]), d)$ to a tight Gaussian process G_γ with covariance function C_{ν_γ, K_γ} .

Remark 7.1. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{p,\pi}$ the $\mathbb{L}^p(\pi)$ -norm. If $\gamma < 1/2$, we have that, for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$(7.7) \quad \sqrt{n} \|F_{n,\gamma} - F_{\nu_\gamma}\|_{p,\pi} \quad \text{converges in distribution to} \quad \|G_\gamma\|_{p,\pi}.$$

In particular, if $\pi = \lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $q = p/(p-1)$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_{\|f'\|_q \leq 1} |S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))| \quad \text{converges in distribution to} \quad \|G_\gamma\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

For $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$, we obtain the limit distribution of the Kantorovič distance $d_1(F_{n,\gamma}, F_{\nu_\gamma})$:

$$\sqrt{n}d_1(F_{n,\gamma}, F_{\nu_\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_{f \in H_{1,1}} |S_n(f - \nu_\gamma(f))| \text{ converges in distribution to } \int_0^1 |G_\gamma(t)| dt.$$

Now if $\gamma < 1/3$, the limit in (7.7) holds for any $p \geq 1$.

Note that, for Harris recurrent Markov chains, Item (2) of Theorem 7.1 holds as soon as the sum of the β -mixing coefficients of the chain is finite. Hence, we conjecture that Item (2) of Corollary 7.1 remains true for $\gamma < 1/2$.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Item (1) has been proved in Dedecker and Merlevède (2007, Theorem 2, Item 2) and Item (2) in Dedecker and Prieur (2007, Proposition 2).

Acknowledgments. Many thanks to Jean-René Chazottes, who pointed out the references to Conze and Raugi (2003) and Raugi (2004).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bolthausen (1982), The Berry-Esseen theorem for strongly mixing Harris recurrent Markov chains. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*. **60**, 283-289.
- [2] J.-P. Conze and A. Raugi (2003), Convergence of iterates of a transfer operator, application to dynamical systems and to Markov chains. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* **7**, 115-146.
- [3] J. Dedecker and P. Doukhan (2003), A new covariance inequality and applications, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **106**, 63-80.
- [4] J. Dedecker and F. Merlevède (2006), The empirical distribution function for dependent variables: asymptotic and nonasymptotic results in \mathbb{L}^p . *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* **11**, 102-114.
- [5] J. Dedecker and C. Prieur (2005), New dependence coefficients. Examples and applications to statistics. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **132**, 203-236.
- [6] J. Dedecker and C. Prieur (2007), An empirical central limit theorem for dependent sequences. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117**, 121-142.
- [7] J. Dedecker and E. Rio (2000), On the functional central limit theorem for stationary processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **36**, 1-34.
- [8] J. Dedecker and E. Rio (2007), On mean central limit theorems for stationary sequences. *Accepted for publication in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*.
- [9] C-G. Esseen and S. Janson (1985), On moment conditions for normed sums of independent variables and martingale differences. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **19**, 173-182.
- [10] M. Fréchet (1957), Sur la distance de deux lois de probabilités. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*. **244**, 689-692.
- [11] S. Gouëzel (2004), Central limit theorem and stable laws for intermittent maps. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **128**, 82-122.
- [12] S. Gouëzel (2005), Berry-Esseen theorem and local limit theorem for non uniformly expanding maps. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41**, 997-1024.
- [13] H. Hennion and L. Hervé (2001), Limit theorems for Markov chains and stochastic properties of dynamical systems by quasi-compactness. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1766**, Springer.
- [14] L. V. Kantorovič and G. Š. Rubinštejn (1957), On a functional space and certain extremum problems. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **115**, 1058-1061.
- [15] C. Liverani, B. Saussol and S. Vaienti (1999), A probabilistic approach to intermittency. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*. **19**, 671-685.

- [16] V. Maume-Deschamps (2001), Projective metrics and mixing properties on towers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, 3371-3389.
- [17] I. Melbourne and M. Nicol (2007), Large deviations for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [18] A. Raugi (2004), Étude d'une transformation non uniformément hyperbolique de l'intervalle $[0, 1[$. *Bull. Soc. math. France* **132**, 81-103.
- [19] Y. Pomeau and P. Manneville (1980), Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **74**, 189-197.
- [20] E. Rio (2000), Théorie asymptotique des processus aléatoires faiblement dépendants. *Mathématiques et applications de la SMAI*. **31**, Springer.
- [21] O. Sarig (2002), Subexponential decay of correlations. *Inv. Math.* **150**, 629-653.
- [22] L-S. Young (1999), Recurrence times and rates of mixing. *Israel J. Math.* **110**, 153-188.

J. DEDECKER, LABORATOIRE DE STATISTIQUE THÉORIQUE ET APPLIQUÉE
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 6, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET 75013 PARIS, FRANCE.
EMAIL: DEDECKER@CCR.JUSSIEU.FR

C. PRIEUR, INSA TOULOUSE, INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE DE TOULOUSE
ÉQUIPE DE STATISTIQUE ET PROBABILITÉS, 135 AVENUE DE RANGUEIL, 31077 TOULOUSE CEDEX 4, FRANCE.
EMAIL: CLEMENTINE.PRIEUR@INSA-TOULOUSE.FR